REMARKS

The Request for Continued Examination (RCE) fee is charged to the undersigned Attorney's Deposit Account No. 10-0100. Applicants respectfully request that should additional fees or a credit be associated with the filing of this response, these fees or credit can be charged or credited to the undersigned Attorney's Deposit Account No. 10-0100.

Applicants have cancelled claims 23, 26, and 28-40.

Applicants have amended claims 21, 22, 24 and 41.

Applicants have added claim 42.

Applicants have amended the claims to more definitively set forth the present invention over the prior art.

As best understood, the amended claims presently stand rejected under 35 USC § 103 as allegedly being obvious over Corey et al. in combination with Hullinger.et al.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of record.

Applicants have amended the claims to include "conditioning means for conditioning all said text files to impost format consistency regardless of the origin of the broadcast", and where the ""inquiry client" "search profile" is "saved at a second time prior to" a "first time" (claim 21), and wherein the "text" search result is "consisting of current news of particular interest to the inquiry client" (claims 41-42 is "automatically" transmitted "at a third time after the first time"

(claim 22), so that a most current broadcast news printable report having a specific nexus to non-active inquiry client is automatically electronically provided to the non-active inquiry client (claims 41-42).

Corey et al. provides <u>video</u>-programming <u>retrieval</u>, which video is stored at a first time and a customer then at a second later time actively interfaces with the system to retrieve the previously stored broadcast. Corey et al., fundamentally teaches away from the claimed invention in several respects. The Corey et al. closed caption format 204 does not contemplate a format consistency for retrieval of a <u>printable text</u> report for automatic electronic delivery to a non-active client. The Corey et al. format 204 provides the output to a <u>video</u> retrieval index generator 212 to storage 72 for later video retrieval. This teaches away from and is unrelated to instant or current broadcast consistently formatted comprehensive printable text report from diverse origin broadcasts (claims 21-22 and 41-42).

Hulinger et al. is necessarily relied on to "show that broadcast text can be stored in a server". This reliance begs the issue of, albeit in hindsight, why one skilled in the art would contemplate storing "consistency" "formatted" "<u>text</u>" in a Corey et al. video retrieval system. Corey et al. does not disclose or contemplate an automatic real time delivery of diverse current broadcast materials in a consistently formatted printed text report.

Applicants express their appreciation to the Examiner for the recognition of the grant of numerous related claims over Corey at al. and Hullinger et al.

Applicants add that the present claims and rationale are, for the foregoing

reasons, likewise manifestly patentably distinguishable over Corey et al. and Hullinger et al.

Applicants would further add that the claims as amended and new claim are in form for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

LACKENBACH SIEGEL, LLP

Marvin Feldman

Reg. 25,797

MF:k

One Chase Road

Scarsdale, NY 10483

(914) 723-4300